

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

SEP 06 2007

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Re: Heinrich Lang

Serial No.: 10/767,669

Filed: 01/29/2004

For: REARVIEW MIRROR ASSEMBLY
FOR MOTOR VEHICLES (as amended)

Examiner: Shafer, Ricky D.

Group No.: 2872

Confirmation No.: 5424

Docket No.: LMX-129-CON
022946.00344Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450


Dear Sir:

CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION


I hereby certify that the following papers are being facsimile transmitted to
the United States Patent Office (fax number 1-571-273-8300) on the date shown below:

1. Request for Reconsideration.

Respectfully submitted,



Henry S. Jaudon
Registration No. 34,056
McNair Law Firm, P.A.
P.O. Box 10827
Greenville, SC 29603-0827
Telephone: (864) 232-4261
Agent for the Applicant

Date: 9-06-07No. of Pages: 3By: 

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

SEP 06 2007

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Re: Heinrich Lang

Serial No.: 10/767,669

Filed: 01/29/2004

For: REARVIEW MIRROR ASSEMBLY
FOR MOTOR VEHICLES (As Amended)

Examiner: Shafer, Ricky D.

Group No.: 2872

Confirmation No.: 5424

Docket No.: LMX-129-CON
022946.00344Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

Request for Reconsideration

The amendment of 7/30/2007 was denied entry because the Examiner held that the amendment raised new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.

The Examiner held that the language "a plurality of connectors engaged with each of said positioning apparatus, said framing and said bracket" would require further consideration.

This position is respectfully traversed.

Claim 26, as presented in the response of 7/30/2007, called for "a plurality of connectors engaged with said positioning apparatus, said framing and said bracket securing said framing."

The Examiner objected to this phrase in the PTO action of 7/11/2007 as vague and indefinite, stating, "it is unclear whether a plurality of connectors are performing the securing."


The proposed amendment merely is an attempt to clarify the claim language to overcome the Examiner's objection and is not thought to constitute new matter or a new

Appl. No.: 10/767,669
Request for Consideration dated September 6, 2007

issue as stated on page 6 of the proposed amendment.

Accordingly, it is respectfully requested that, upon reconsideration, the Examiner allow entry of the proposed amendment for purposes of appeal.

Respectfully submitted,



Henry S. Jaudon
Registration No. 34,056
McNair Law Firm, P.A.
P.O. Box 10827
Greenville, SC 29603-0827
Telephone: (864) 232-4261
Agent for the Applicant